

## U.S. Missile Defense Programs at a Glance

- [Arms Control Today](#)

### Wade Boese

President George W. Bush announced December 17, 2002, that the United States would field initial elements of a limited national missile defense system by September 2004.

The United States has researched and worked on the development of missile defenses to counter ballistic missiles for more than five decades. Bush's declaration marks the second time that the United States has moved to deploy a system against long-range missiles following negotiation of the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty, from which the United States withdrew June 13, 2002. The first effort, Safeguard, was shut down within a few months of being declared operational in October 1975. Under Safeguard, the United States deployed missile interceptors in North Dakota to protect an ICBM field.

The Bush administration inherited seven main missile defense programs, including the strategic ground-based interceptor system, and two related satellite programs from the Clinton administration. For the most part, despite increased spending the Bush administration has not been able to accelerate the various programs' development. A sea-based system has also been canceled, and another system, the space-based laser, has been dramatically scaled back to a general research program.

The following factfile provides a brief look at each major U.S. missile defense program. It contains information on what type of ballistic missile each defense is intended to counter. Also included are Pentagon estimates on when each defense may have an initial, rudimentary capability as well as when it may be fully operational.

---

## Ballistic Missile Basics

Ballistic missiles are classified by the maximum distance that they can travel, which is a function of how powerful the missile's engines (rockets) are and the weight of the missile's warhead. To add more distance to a missile's range, rockets are stacked on top of each other in a configuration referred to as staging. There are four general classifications of ballistic missiles:

- Short-range ballistic missiles, with a range less than 1,000 kilometers (approximately 620 miles)
- Medium-range ballistic missiles, with a range of 1,000-3,000 kilometers (approximately 620-1,860 miles)
- Intermediate-range ballistic missiles, with a range of 3,000-5,500 kilometers (approximately 1,860-3,410 miles)
- Intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs), with a range of more than 5,500 kilometers

Short- and medium-range ballistic missiles are referred to as theater ballistic missiles, whereas ICBMs, or long-range ballistic missiles, are described as strategic ballistic missiles. The ABM Treaty prohibited the development of nationwide strategic defenses but permitted development of theater missile defenses.

# U.S. Missile Defense Programs at a Glance

Published on Arms Control Association (<https://www.armscontrol.org>)

All ballistic missiles have three stages of flight:

- The boost phase begins at launch and lasts until the rocket engines stop firing and pushing the missile away from Earth. Depending on the missile, this stage lasts between three and five minutes. During much of this time, the missile is traveling relatively slowly, although toward the end of this stage an ICBM can reach speeds of more than 24,000 kilometers per hour. The missile stays in one piece during this stage.
- The midcourse phase begins after the rockets finish firing and the missile is on a ballistic course toward its target. This is the longest stage of a missile’s flight, lasting up to 20 minutes for ICBMs. During the early part of the midcourse stage, the missile is still ascending toward its apogee, while during the latter part it is descending toward Earth. It is during this stage that the missile’s warhead, as well as any decoys, separate from the delivery vehicle.
- The terminal phase begins when the missile’s warhead re-enters the Earth’s atmosphere, and it continues until impact or detonation. This stage takes less than a minute for a strategic warhead, which can be traveling at speeds greater than 3,200 kilometers per hour.

Short- and medium-range ballistic missiles may or may not exit the atmosphere. They also may stay in one piece instead of deploying a separating warhead, and are less likely to employ countermeasures that could accompany an ICBM.

<b>Ground-Based Midcourse Defense</b>	
<b>Program &amp; Key Elements</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The key element of the ground-based midcourse defense is a ground-based missile interceptor consisting of a powerful multistage booster and an exoatmospheric kill vehicle (EKV), which separates from the booster in space and seeks out its target through radar updates and use of its onboard infrared sensors.</li> <li>• The EKV destroys its target by colliding with it. This process is referred to as hit-to-kill.</li> </ul>
<b>Designed to Counter</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The projected system’s goal is to intercept ballistic missile warheads in the midcourse phase.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To date, the system has had five successful intercept attempts in eight developmental tests.</li> <li>• After four straight successes, the system hit its target in the most recent test December 2002. Another intercept attempt is not planned until at least late 2003 following the selection of a multistage booster.</li> <li>• The development of the multistage booster is more than two years behind schedule. Early Program Test plans called for the booster to be used in an actual intercept attempt during the first few months of 2001, but that will not occur until late 2003. Two companies are currently working on separate booster models, both of which were flight-tested twice in the summer of 2003. The program may keep both or select one of the boosters for use in future intercept testing.</li> </ul>
<b>Capability/Schedule</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lieutenant General Ronald Kadish, director of the Pentagon’s Missile Defense Agency, declared on October 31, 2002, that “[o]ne of my greatest disappointments has been not being able to</li> </ul>

# U.S. Missile Defense Programs at a Glance

Published on Arms Control Association (<https://www.armscontrol.org>)

	<p>produce a booster for this ground-based s</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Pentagon is currently planning to dep missile interceptors, which are to incorpor new booster, at Fort Greely, Alaska, and f interceptors at Vandenberg Air Force Bas California, by September 2004. Another 1 interceptors are to be deployed at Fort Gr during 2005.</li> <li>• There are no plans to fire interceptors fro Greely for testing purposes.</li> <li>• Clinton’s missile defense plans called for deployment of 20 missile interceptors in A 2005.</li> <li>• The interceptors under the Clinton plan w have been supported by a land-based X-b radar, but the Bush administration annou plans August 31, 2002, to develop a sea-b band radar instead.</li> <li>• Pentagon plans call for the new radar, wh put on a mobile sea platform, to be comp September 2005.</li> <li>• Bush’s plans also call for the missile inter be supported by an upgraded, although le capable, early-warning radar on Shemya t the western tip of the Aleutian Islands cha radar, known as the Cobra Dane radar, wi able to track missiles fired from the direct Asia because the radar is fixed to face no</li> </ul>
--	---

<h2 style="margin: 0;">Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD)</h2> <p style="margin: 0;">(Referred to as Navy Theater Wide by the Clinton administration)</p>	
<p><b>Program &amp; Key Elements</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The key elements of the proposed sea- defense are a ship-based missile (Stand Missile-3, or SM-3) and the Aegis comba advanced system that can detect and t than 100 targets simultaneously while o ship’s weapons to counter incoming air, and submarine threats.</li> <li>• The SM-3 is a hit-to-kill missile compris stage booster with a kill vehicle.</li> <li>• Two Pentagon reports have declared th combat system, particularly its radar, is of supporting a strategic missile defens</li> <li>• The SM-3 is also considered too slow to strategic ballistic missile.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Designed to Counter</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initially, the Aegis BMD is geared toward against short-, medium-, and intermedi ballistic missiles during their midcourse an emphasis on the ascent phase.</li> <li>• Eventually, the Pentagon wants the def capable of countering strategic ballistic possi-bly in the boost phase.</li> <li>• A senior Pentagon official announced M that the Pentagon would also explore w system can be adapted to counter short</li> </ul>

# U.S. Missile Defense Programs at a Glance

Published on Arms Control Association (<https://www.armscontrol.org>)

<p><b>Status</b></p>	<p>medium-range ballistic missiles in their stage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In a January 25, 2002, test, the system a target for the first time, but the flight interceptor and target had been plotted way that an intercept was expected. A s in June, which was described as “identical first, also succeeded.</li> <li>• The third and latest test, which took place November 21, 2002, also resulted in the being destroyed. In this test, the target was ascending, whereas in the previous two descending.</li> <li>• The target used in the three intercept tests reflective of what the system is expected in a real-world situation. The target used and slower-moving than what the defense expected to counter.</li> <li>• Clinton administration plans called for five tests to be completed by September 2002. Pentagon has only conducted three so far.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Capability/Schedule</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As part of its initial missile defense capabilities, Bush administration announced December 2002, that it will try to deploy up to 20 interceptors on three ships during 2004. Another 15 ships are to receive upgrades to improve their missile tracking capabilities.</li> <li>• Kadish estimated in July 2001 that testing the system against long-range ballistic missiles begin in 2007 or 2008.</li> </ul>

<h2 style="margin: 0;">Airborne Laser (ABL)</h2>	
<p><b>Program &amp; Key Elements</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The key element of the proposed ABL is a modified Boeing 747 plane equipped with a chemical oxygen-iodine laser.</li> <li>• The laser beam is produced by a chemical reaction.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Designed to Counter</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Although the Pentagon originally aimed the ABL against theater ballistic missiles, it now contends the ABL may have an inherent capability against strategic ballistic missiles.</li> <li>• The expanded ABL objective is to shoot down all ranges of ballistic missiles in their boost phase.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Status</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The first ABL test plane made its inaugural flight July 18, 2002. The plane was not equipped with a laser, which is still under development.</li> <li>• The first attempt to intercept a ballistic missile target is supposed to occur in late 2004 or mid-2005. But Kadish indicated in April 2002 that the estimated heavy weight of the laser system is troublesome and could delay the program. He added, “We are right on the edge of making very revolutionary technology either practical or not.”</li> </ul>

## U.S. Missile Defense Programs at a Glance

Published on Arms Control Association (<https://www.armscontrol.org>)

	<p>fail. And we just don't know the answer to the question yet."</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Clinton administration planned for the intercept attempt to take place in 2003.</li> </ul>
<b>Capability/Schedule</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Pentagon said in 2002 that it wanted one ABL available for emergency use in 2003, two or three ABL aircraft operational by 2005 and 2008. At this time, the earliest an ABL is available for any use is 2005.</li> </ul>

### Theater High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD)

<b>Program &amp; Key Elements</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• THAAD's main components are a missile consisting of a single rocket booster with a separate kill vehicle that seeks out its target with the specifically designed THAAD radar.</li> <li>• The THAAD kill vehicle is hit-to-kill.</li> <li>• THAAD missiles are fired from a truck-mounted launcher.</li> </ul>
<b>Designed to Counter</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• THAAD's mission is to intercept short- and medium-range ballistic missiles at the end of their boost phase and in the terminal stage. Intercept attempts take place inside or outside the atmosphere.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The system had two successful intercepts in the summer of 1999 after experiencing several failures between April 1995 and March 1999.</li> <li>• The THAAD missile is currently being redeveloped.</li> <li>• THAAD flight tests are scheduled to resume in 2006. Intercept attempts against threat-representative targets are set for 2006.</li> </ul>
<b>Capability/Schedule</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Current Pentagon plans call for THAAD to be operational through at least 2008, although the Pentagon envisions deploying THAAD interceptors between 2006 and 2008.</li> </ul>

### Patriot Advanced Capability-3 (PAC-3)

<b>Program &amp; Key Elements</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PAC-3 consists of a one-piece, hit-to-kill interceptor fired from a mobile launching vehicle which can carry 16 PAC-3 missiles.</li> <li>• The missile is guided by an independent radar which sends its tracking data to the missile through a mobile engagement control station.</li> </ul>
<b>Designed to Counter</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PAC-3 is designed to defend against short- and medium-range ballistic missiles in their terminal stage at lower altitudes than the THAAD.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• During earlier developmental testing, the PAC-3 struck nine out of 10 targets.</li> <li>• In four, more difficult operational tests between February and May 2002 that involved multiple interceptors and targets, seven PAC-3s were fired at five targets. Of the seven PAC-3s, three destroyed their targets, one hit but did not destroy its target, one missed its target, and three were not fired.</li> </ul>

## U.S. Missile Defense Programs at a Glance

Published on Arms Control Association (<https://www.armscontrol.org>)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>not launch.</li> <li>PAC-3s destroyed two Iraqi short-range ballistic missiles in the latest conflict and reportedly down a U.S. fighter jet.</li> </ul>
<b>Capability/Schedule</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>By the end of 2002, more than 50 PAC-3s were delivered to the U.S. Army for deployment.</li> <li>Kadish projected in March 2003 that about 100 PAC-3s should be available for use by the end of 2005.</li> </ul>

## Space Tracking and Surveillance System (STSS)

(Previously referred to as Space-Based Infrared System-low (SBIRS-low))

<b>Program &amp; Key Elements</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>STSS will initially comprise two satellites. The constellation could expand to as many as 30 satellites.</li> </ul>
<b>Designed to Counter</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>STSS satellites are expected to support U.S. missile defense systems by providing tracking data on incoming missiles during their entire flight.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The two STSS satellites are to be launched in late 2007. The SBIRS-low program had its first launch of a satellite a year earlier.</li> </ul>
<b>Capability/Schedule</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The first next-generation STSS satellite was launched in 2011.</li> <li>Two satellites would provide little, if any, coverage. The Pentagon estimates that 30 satellites would need to be deployed to provide coverage of key regions of concern. Worldwide coverage could require up to 30 satellites.</li> </ul>

## Space-Based Infrared System-high (SBIRS-high)

<b>Program &amp; Key Elements</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SBIRS-high will be comprised of four satellites: two in geosynchronous orbit and sensors on two satellites in a highly elliptical orbit.</li> </ul>
<b>Designed to Counter</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SBIRS-high's primary objective is to provide early warning of global ballistic missile launches.</li> </ul>
<b>Status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A geosynchronous satellite launch was scheduled for late 2005, but it is now slated for October 2006.</li> <li>The first payload for the two satellites in the highly elliptical orbit was supposed to be delivered in late 2003, but a U.S. Air Force spokesperson in late 2003 that the payload "encountered issues" and that it is now scheduled for final testing later in the summer.</li> </ul>
<b>Capability/Schedule</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The first geosynchronous satellite is to be launched in late 2006, with initial operational capability in fiscal year 2007.</li> </ul>

## U.S. Missile Defense Programs at a Glance

Published on Arms Control Association (<https://www.armscontrol.org>)

---

	operation of multiple satellites is supposed to be tested in fiscal year 2009.
--	--

**Source URL:** [https://www.armscontrol.org/act/2003\\_06/mdfactfile\\_june03](https://www.armscontrol.org/act/2003_06/mdfactfile_june03)